Inequality

- I. Definitions
 - 1. <u>Inequality</u> The state or condition of being unequal; want of equality. 1. Want of equality between persons or things; disparity: a. in respect of magnitude, quantity, number, intensity, or other physical quality. (OED)
 - 2. <u>Inequality</u> 1. the condition of being unequal; lack of equality; disparity:

inequality of size.

2. a. social or economic disparity:

inequality between the rich and the poor; widening income inequality in America.

b. unequal opportunity or treatment resulting from this disparity: *inequality in healthcare and education*. (Dictionary.com)

- 3. *Inequality* is among the greatest of evils according to the Social Justice Warriors (SJWs) today.
 - A. "Inequality is the root of social evil." (Pope Francis via <u>Twitter</u>, 4-28-2014)
 - *B.* Why doesn't the Vatican take its vast riches and disperse them to the impoverished people of the world?
- 4. There are a few areas in which all men should be equal.
 - A. All people should be treated equally before the law.
 - B. All people should be equally not aggressed upon.
 - C. All people should be free to pursue their goals as long as they don't aggress upon others.
- II. Economic and social equality is an unattainable goal.
 - 1. The grand goal of the social planners is to have a country (and a world) where everyone has equality, both socially and economically.
 - A. This sounds nice, but it is unnatural, unbiblical, and unattainable.
 - B. To attempt to implement it is immoral because it requires stealing wealth from one group and giving to another.
 - 2. Economic inequality will NEVER be eliminated from this earth.
 - A. Our Lord Jesus Christ taught that there will always be poor people on this earth (Mar 14:7).
 - B. The same principle was taught in the law of Moses (Deu 15:11).
 - C. There have always been rich people, and there always will be.
 - D. God gives men power to get wealth (**Deu 8:18**).
 - i. Riches come from God (1Ch 29:12).
 - ii. The blessing of the Lord makes men rich (**Pro 10:22**).
 - iii. God gives us richly all things to enjoy (**1Ti 6:17**).
 - iv. God even gives wealth to those who misuse it (Hos 2:8).
 - v. Therefore, if a person despises a rich man who earned his wealth honestly, he is really despising God who gave it to him.
 - E. There is nothing wrong with being rich.
 - i. Abraham was rich (Gen 13:2).
 - ii. David was rich (1Ch 29:28).
 - iii. Solomon was rich (1Ki 10:23).

- iv. These were godly men (despite their flaws), and they were nevertheless very rich.
- v. Paul instructed rich Christians to be generous with their wealth (1Ti 6:17-19), but he did not condemn them for having it or tell them they didn't deserve it.
- 3. There are several reasons why economic inequality will never be eliminated.
 - A. All human beings are born unequal in intelligence, beauty, size, strength, and abilities.
 - B. This fact alone will naturally cause economic inequality among people.
 - C. IQ varies significantly by country, ethnicity, and climate which has an obvious correlation with national wealth.
 - i. The following graphic came from IQ Research's website: <u>https://iq-research.info/en/average-iq-by-country</u>.



Average national IQs according to IQ and Global Inequality (2002)

- ii. "These numbers came from a work carried out from 2002 to 2006 by Richard Lynn, a British Professor of Psychology, and Tatu Vanhanen, a Finnish Professor of Political Science, who conducted IQ studies in more than 80 countries. Richard and Tatu argues that differences in national income are correlated with differences in the average national intelligence quotient (IQ). They further argue that differences in average national IQs constitute one important factor, but not the only one, contributing to differences in national wealth and rates of economic growth." (*World Ranking of Countries by Their Average IQ*, <u>IQ Research</u>, 2002)
- iv. IQ varies greatly by "race".
 - a. The following chart was taken from an article called *Average IQ by Race, Ethnicity, and Career*... *And Why It Matters* from <u>AOTS</u> written on 7-10-2017.

Race	IQ
Ashkenazi Jews (studies range from 104 to 115; the IQ of Sephardic Jews is	110
estimated to be the same as Northern Europeans)	
Fast Asirins (China, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong, and Singapore)	105
Europeans (Northern and Western Europe is 100, Eastern and Southeastern	97
Europe is 95)	
American Indians (North and South America)	90
Hispanic-Americans	89
Southeast Asians (Thailand, Cambodia, Loos, Vietnam, Malaysia, Indonesia, the	87
Philippines, and Borneo)	
Pacific Islanders (Natives of New Zealand, Micronesia, Melanesia, Polynesia, and	85
Hawan)	
African-Americans	85
South Asians (Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, Iraq, Iran, the Gulf states, the Near	84
East, and Turkey)	
North Africans	84
Sub-Saharan Africans	70
Australian Aboriaines	62

b.

- c. IQs by race or nationality are only averages and don't necessarily apply to *individuals* of a nation or race.
- d. "These data obviously don't mean there are no Asians with low IQs or blacks with high IQs. Lumping people into a group and judging them based solely on skin color is the definition of racism, and everyone should be judged as an individual." (*Average IQ by Race, Ethnicity, and Career . . . And Why It Matters*, <u>AOTS</u>, 7-10-2017)
- v. IQ also seems to be correlated with climate: the hotter the climate, the lower the IQ.
- vi. "Since 1991 there has been the presumption that persistent heat affects the IQ over generations. It was initially assumed that living in lower temperatures requires a higher physical fitness and causes higher social demands. Richard Lynn, a professor at the University of Ulster in Northern Ireland, also assumes that the colder temperatures increase the brain volume. Whether brain size is at all related to intelligence, is controversial. In general, a hot climate is considered a disadvantage for the development of intelligence. The reason is nowadays primarily seen in the high physical energy demand and the resulting stress." (*IQ Compared by Countries*, World Data.info)
- D. In addition to these physical reasons for inequality, there are also moral and spiritual reasons for it, such as:

- i. Some people are lazy, and lazy people usually are, and deserve to be, poor (Pro 10:4; Pro 19:15; Pro 20:4; Pro 20:13; Pro 24:30-34).
- ii. Some people are hard working and industrious, and such often are, and deserve to be, wealthy (**Pro 10:4; Pro 13:4**).
- iii. Some people are intemperate sinners, and such usually are, and deserve to be, poor (**Pro 23:21**).
- iv. Some people have strength of character and retain riches (**Pro 11:16**), while some are weak and have no self-control and lose it.
- v. Some people are stupid and foolish with money, and foolish people usually are, and deserve to be, poor (**Pro 13:18; Pro 13:23; Pro 21:20**).
- vi. Some people are blessed to be born to wise and prudent parents who will leave them an inheritance (**Pro 13:22; Pro 19:14**), while others are not.
- vii. Some cultures place a greater value on education, hard work, and material wealth which will create economic inequality.
 - a. European and Southeast Asian immigrants to the US have much higher incomes than immigrants from Mexico, Central, South America, and Sub-Saharan Africa.
 - b. Racism cannot explain this disparity.
 - c. The following charts are from a Pew Research study which can be found here: <u>http://www.pewhispanic.org/2017/05/03/facts-on-u-s-immigrants-current-data</u>.

Median household income, by nativity and region of birth: 2015

All U.S. born	\$ 56,000
All foreign born	51,000
Mexico	40,000
South and East Asia	75,000
Europe/Canada	63, <mark>00</mark> 0
Caribbean	42, <mark>50</mark> 0
Central America	42,000
South America	53,500
Middle East	51, <mark>0</mark> 00
Sub-Saharan Africa	49,850
All other	75,400
XII.	\$ 55,300

Median personal earnings, by nativity and region of birth: 2015

Universe: 2015 resident population ages 16 and older with positive earnings

VI U.S. born	\$ 31,600
til forsign born	28,000
Maxico	22,000
South and East Asia	39,000
Europe/Canada	42,100
Carlopean	26,000
Central America	22,000
South America	28,000
Middle East	35,000
Sub-Saharan Africa	30,000
All other	36,000
AN .	30,000

Median personal earnings for fulltime, year-round workers, by nativity and region of birth: 2015

Universe: 2015 resident population defined for persons ages 16 and older who worked at least 35 hours per week and at least 48 weeks in the past year

All U.S. bern	\$ 45,000
All foreign born	36,400
Mexico	26,900
South and East Asia	63,000
Europe, Can <mark>ada</mark>	59,000
Canbbean	35,000
Central America	27,000
South America	38,000
Middle East	54,000
Sub-Saharan Africa	40,000
All other	50,0 <mark>0</mark> 0
All	44,000

- viii. In some cultures, people marry in their late teens and have lots of children, but in others people remain single into their mid-30s before marrying and possibly having a child.
 - a. For instance, Mexican immigrants marry much earlier than do Asian immigrants.
 - b. This will also create economic inequality.
 - c. It's a good thing to marry young and have lots of children, but it will effect one's material wealth.
- III. The so-called "gender pay gap."
 - 1. The media continually touts the "gender pay gap" which is the claim that women allegedly earn 23% less than men for equal work.
 - 2. This is nonsense.
 - 3. That figure is calculated by comparing the salary of the average man and woman working full time.
 - 4. It does not account for hours worked, occupations, college majors, length of time in the workplace, and other factors.
 - A. Men generally work in more lucrative occupations while women generally work in lower paying occupations which offer more schedule flexibility.
 - i. Men choose professions such as engineering, programming, and manufacturing which are higher paying.
 - ii. Women choose professions that focus on social interaction such as psychology, sociology, and early child development which are lower paying.
 - B. Men generally work more hours and don't take time off for extended periods.
 - C. Women work fewer hours and take long sabbaticals from work to raise children.
 - 5. When all of these considerations (and others) are factored in, the gender pay gap narrows to about 5-7% at most.
 - 6. If employers could really pay women 23% less for equal work, they would fire all of their male employees and hire all female employees and enjoy a much higher profit margin than their competitors.
 - A. The same people that say that employers discriminate against women and pay them less than men also say that corporations are greedy and pay workers the absolute minimum that they can.
 - B. They can't have it both ways.
 - 7. See article: <u>https://www.huffingtonpost.com/christina-hoff-sommers/wage-gap_b_2073804.html</u>.
- IV. The government cannot create economic equality.
 - 1. To use the government to create economic equality is immoral.
 - A. The government doesn't produce anything, so whatever they give to one person must have been stolen from another.
 - B. Thou shalt not steal (Exo 20:15).
 - C. Rich men, not governments, are to be "ready to distribute, willing to communicate" a portion of their wealth to others who are in need (**1Ti 6:18**).
 - 2. To use the government to create economic equality is inefficient.

- A. To take resources away from producers and give them to consumers will create a society of less producers and more consumers.
- B. This means a society with less material wealth.
- C. Both the rich and the poor only get poorer under this foolish system.
- 3. To use the government to create economic equality is impossible.
 - A. Even it were possible to achieve economic equality, it would not last.
 - B. The first transaction after equality was achieved would create inequality.
 - C. Thus the government would never reach its goal.
 - D. That's the way they want it.
 - E. Contrary to their stated aims, the government loves inequality because it gives them an excuse to grab for ever more power and control of the population.
- 4. The following passage is from Vladimir Bukovsky's To Build a Castle.

"This dream of absolute, universal equality is amazing, terrifying, and inhuman. And the moment it captures people's minds, the result is mountains of corpses and rivers of blood, accompanied by attempts to straighten the stooped and shorten the tall.

"I remember that one part of the psychiatric examination was a test for idiocy. The patient was given the following problem to solve: 'Imagine a train crash. It is well known that the part of the train that suffers the most damage in such crashes is the carriage at the rear. How can you prevent that damage from taking place?' The idiot's usual reply is expected to be: Uncouple the last carriage. That strikes us as amusing, but just think, are the theory and practice of socialism much better?

"Society, say the socialists, contains both the rich and the poor. The rich are getting richer and the poor poorer. What is to be done? Uncouple the last carriage, liquidate the rich, take away their wealth and distribute it among the poor. And they start to uncouple the carriages.

"But there is always one carriage at the back, there are always richer and poorer, for society is like a magnet: there are always two poles. But does this discourage a true socialist? The main thing is to realize his dream; so the richest section of society is liquidated first, and everyone rejoices because everyone gains from the share-out.

"But the spoils are soon spent, and people start to notice inequality again -- again there are rich and poor. So they uncouple the next carriage, and then the next, without end, because absolute equality has still not been achieved. Before you know it, the peasant who has two cows and a horse turns out to be in the last carriage and is pronounced a kulak and deported. Is it really surprising that whenever you get striving for equality and fraternity, the guillotine appears on the scene?

"...It is difficult for man to resist this dream and this noble impulse, particularly for men who are impetuous and sincere. They are the first to start chopping heads off and, eventually, to have their own chopped off."

V. Why stop at economic equality only inside of the US?

- 1. If all people should be economically equal, then the wealth of wealthy Americans should be distributed to the poorest of the earth.
- 2. This means that the minuscule wealth of the poor in America would have to confiscated and given to those who are far poorer in Africa or Asia.
- 3. This would require a world government to carry out this atrocious scheme.

VI. Increasing education budgets will not create economic equality.

- 1. The average cost of educating a child in the US is \$11,392 per year.
 - 2. Some states are much higher than this, such as:
 - A. New Jersey: \$18,235
 - B. Connecticut: \$18,377
 - C. District of Columbia: \$19,396
 - D. Alaska: \$20,172
 - E. New York: \$21,206
 - 3. See article: <u>https://education.cu-portland.edu/blog/classroom-resources/public-education-costs-per-pupil-by-state-rankings</u>
 - 4. If spending \$11,392 or more per student per year hasn't fixed the problem of inequality, then throwing more money at it obviously won't help.
- 5. Wisdom cannot be imparted to fools simply by spending money (**Pro 17:16**).
- VII. The desire for equality is simply *greed* fueled by *envy*.
 - 1. <u>Greed</u> *n*. Inordinate or insatiate longing, esp. for wealth; avaricious or covetous desire.
 - A. Greedy people trouble their own house (**Pro 15:27**).
 - B. Lazy people are often greedy, wanting what others have that they are too lazy to work for (**Pro 21:25-26**).
 - 2. <u>Envy</u> 1. Malignant or hostile feeling; ill-will, malice, enmity. *Obs.* 3. The feeling of mortification and ill-will occasioned by the contemplation of superior advantages possessed by another. Const. *at*, *of*, *to*, *upon* (persons), *at*, *of* (things). Phrases, to feel (have) envy.
 - A. Envy is one of the most vicious sins (Pro 27:4).
 - B. Envy causes hatred and ill will toward others (Mar 15:10; Act 7:9).
 - C. Envy will ruin a person (**Pro 14:30**).
 - D. Envy causes strife, including social strife (Jam 3:16).
 - E. Greed breeds envy (**Jam 4:5**).
 - F. Christians should not be envious of anyone (Rom 13:13; Gal 5:26).
 - 3. Those that clamor for equality are not content.
 - A. <u>Content</u> *adj*. 1. Having one's desires bounded by what one has (though that may be less than one could have wished); not disturbed by the desire of anything more, or of anything different; 'satisfied so as not to repine; easy though not highly pleased'
 - B. We must be content with such things as we have (Heb 13:5).
 - C. Inequality is a fact of life which we must learn to live with.
 - D. What someone else has should have no bearing on our contentment.
 - i. What does it matter if there are billionaires out there that have multimillion dollar homes, yachts, and cars?
 - ii. Does someone else's excessive riches affect your quality of life?
 - iii. It only does so if you let it through *envy*.
- VIII. Economic inequality is actually a good thing.
 - 1. Inequality is good as long as it is caused by the free market and not by fraud, theft, or cronyism (corporations getting contracts from government through bribes or lobbying).

- 2. A free market economy will facilitate some people becoming wealthy because of their entrepreneurship, diligence, and foresight.
- 3. That is a good thing because wealthy people invest their money in businesses and capital equipment which end up employing non-entrepreneurs and making their labor exponentially more productive.
- 4. This increases everyone's productivity, and consequently their wages, making everyone more wealthy than they would have been otherwise.

IX. Inequality is not the issue.

- 1. The disparity of the wealth between the rich and poor is not nearly as important as whether or not the standard of living of the poor is increasing.
- 2. The standard of living of the poor has increased dramatically over the last 200 years.
- 3. The poor enjoy luxuries that the rich could only have dreamed of 200 years ago, such as:
 - A. Electricity in their home
 - B. Listening to recorded music at any time
 - C. Owning an automobile
 - D. Traveling by air
 - E. Having air conditioning
 - F. Having a television
 - G. Having access to the Internet
 - H. Having a telephone
 - I. Having a cell phone
 - J. Having a computer
 - K. Having a microwave
 - L. Having antibiotics
- 4. The gap between the standard of living of the rich and the poor has narrowed greatly over the last few centuries.
 - A. A few hundred years ago, the rich road in a four-horse carriage, while the poor walked.
 - B. Now the rich drive a fancy car while the poor drive a clunker.
 - C. That is a huge improvement in the standard of living of the poor in comparison to the rich.
 - D. Even if the rich are getting richer at a faster rate, the poor are still getting richer, so why are people complaining?

X. How to help the poor Biblically

- 1. One of the reasons that we should work is to have money to give to those in need (**Eph 4:28**).
- 2. Helping people financially should be limited to supplying their *needs*, not lusts (**Rom** 12:13; Jam 2:15-16).
- 3. The love of God is not in us if we will not give to someone in genuine need (1Jo 3:17).
- 4. When brethren in the church are in need due to no fault of their own, those that have been blessed with abundance should supply for their need (**2Co 8:13-15**).
- 5. Rich men should be ready and willing to give to people who are in need (1Ti 6:17-18).
- 6. This should be done voluntarily, not through compulsion by government.
 - A. When the government gives to the poor, they do so by forcing one person to hand over their wealth to another person.
 - B. When the government provides help to those in need, it does so very inefficiently.

- C. Government aid also reduces the incentive for individuals to help the poor because they assume that they are already doing so through their taxes.
- D. The best thing the government can do to help the poor is to not help them and instead let society help them.
 - i. The government can do so by ceasing to tax and regulate businesses which will enable them to hire more people and increase wages which will do much to help the poor.
 - ii. The government can also help the poor by not running up deficits and printing money which devalues the money and increases the costs of everything.
- 7. Charity should begin close to home (**Deu 15:7-11**).
 - A. When it is, the money will more likely be given only to those who can't work, not to those who won't work (**2Th 3:10**).
 - B. When charity is kept close to home, a wise man can give with discretion because he has personal knowledge of the need (**Psa 112:5**).
 - C. This will help to greatly reduce fraud.
 - D. This will also help to ensure that charitable giving is a means to an end for the poor, not the end itself.
- 8. We will never be able to end poverty entirely, but we can help to alleviate it in the lives of those with whom we are in contact within our families and communities.